

No. ID/FD/189-83/45441.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Moti Lal and the management of M/s. Venus Paper Mills Ltd., 50, New Industrial Area Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Moti Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/86-83/45455.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workwoman Shri Bhagan and the management of M/s Chhikara Ceramics Nahara Nahari Road, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhagan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/203-83/45462.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kuriya Kose and the management of M/s Sapna Enterprises I-C/69, NIT., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter of dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kuriya Kose was justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/110-83/45469.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhuvneshwar Mandal and the management of M/s Universal Conveyor Belting Ltd., 10-11, Gurukul Inderparatha Estate P. O. Amarnagar, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhuvneshwar Mandal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/58-83/45476.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Madan Parsad and the management of M/s. Contractor Rajender Singh C/o M/s Jotindra Steel & Tubes Ltd., 14/5 Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And weetheras the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Madan Parsad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/ FD/116-83/45483.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Suresh Kumar Jha and the management of M/S Trans Auto, 1-5.DLF Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495- G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414- 3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Suresh Kumar Jha was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/77-83/45490.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nirmal Kumar and the management of M/s Frick India Ltd., 13/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nirmal Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 6th September, 1983

No. ID/FD/104-83/45634.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Kamal Kumar and the management of M/s Radhika Woolens and Silk Mills Pvt. Ltd, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Government notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kamal Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/187-83/45641.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Lachan and the management of M/s Oswal Engineering & General Works, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Lachan was justified and in order? If not to what relief is she entitled?